

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,122	07/24/2001	Joshua Makower	TRNSV-015G	4515 :	
7590 06/08/2005			EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.			ISABELLA, DAVID J		
IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 3576 UNOCAL PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA ROSA	, CA 95403		3738		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/200:	S	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>C</i> :	
V	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/912,122	MAKOWER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738	

		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
	•						
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70			,, ,- ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFF Since a Notice of Appeal has been fi AMENDMENTS	R 41.37(a)), or any e	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed a	fter a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef will not be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that wor (b) They raise the issue of new ma	uld require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
(c) They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or	•	· ·	reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims NOTE: (See 37 CFR	_	·	ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliants. Applicant's reply has overcome the	nce with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(the non-allowable claim(s). 	• • •		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wor The status of the claim(s) is (or will be claim(s) allowed:	uld be rejected is pro	·	will be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			¢				
Claim(s) withdrawn from considerati AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	on:						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a and was not earlier presented. See 	showing of good ar	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reason 	r evidence failed to one why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is er REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT 		on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has Contrary to applicant's arguments, tubular member having a lumen an 7 and therefor may be construed as	the device and elem d a distal end openi	ients as disclosed by Edwards, et ng, moreover the tip of the tube do	al are configured such pes penetrate tissue as	that there is a			
12. Note the attached Information Disc							
13.	. ,	· ·	DAVID J ISABELL	A			

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738